

WHEREAS, in the opinion of the County Auditor, the public interests required that the Lake County Council, should be called to meet in regular session at this time, for the purpose of considering additional appropriations, a written notice was sent to each member of the Council, and proper advertisement made, and all other acts performed in accordance with the laws governing such matters.

And now in obedience to such call, come Ted Bilski, President, Jerome Prince, Michael Repay, Elsie Franklin, Daniel Dernulc, Christine Cid, and Rick Niemeyer County Councilpersons, together with Ray Szarmach, County Council Attorney.

In the Matter of Minutes of the Lake County Council for September 13, 2011, September 14, 2011 - 2012 Budget Workshop, September 20, 2011 – 2012 Budget Workshop, September 26, 2011 – 2012 Second Reading, and September 26, 2011 – Review of 2012 Civil Units Budget Recommendations.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve the minutes of the L.C. Council for September 26, 2011 – Review of 2012 Civil Units Budget Recommendations. All voted “Yes”. Motion to approve carried 7-0.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Franklin to defer to 11-8-11, the minutes of September 13, 2011, September 14, 2011 – 2012 Budget Workshop, September 20, 2011 – 2012 Budget Workshop, and September 26, 2011 – Second Reading. All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

ORDINANCE NO. 1340

Section 1. Be It Ordained by the County Council of Lake County, IN., that for the expenses of the County Government and its institutions, the following sums of money are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart out of the several funds herein named and for the purposes herein appropriated, and shall be held to include all expenditures authorized to be made during the year unless otherwise expressly stipulated and provided by law.

	Appropriation Requested	Appropriated
General Fund 001		
<u>Plan Commission</u> 2400		
41329 Board Member Per Diem	\$ 1,800.00	No Action
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
41220 Professionals(Reduction)	-\$ 743.00	-\$ 743.00
<u>Veterans Service</u> 2700		
41110 Officials & Administrators	\$ 743.00	\$ 743.00
Non-Reverting Highwan Deposit Gambling Tax Fund 229		
<u>Highway</u> 5060		
43630 Maintenance & Service Contracts	\$150,000.00	\$ 150,000.00
Sheriff 2011 JAG Grant Fund 262		
<u>Sheriff</u> 1007		
42410 Other Supplies(CNL)	\$ 73,452.00	\$ 73,452.00
HPRP Homeless Prevention Fund 278		
<u>Economic Development</u> 6100		
44220 Building Improvement	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00
HUD Neighborhood Stabilization Fund 284		
<u>Economic Development</u> 6100		
44220 Building Improvement	\$1,451,851.00	\$1,451,851.00
Elected Officials Training Fund 286		
<u>Recorder</u> 0400		
43231 Travel-Registration(CNL)	\$ 175.00	\$ 175.00
43232 Travel-Meals(CNL)	\$ 65.00	\$ 65.00
43233 Travel-Lodging(CNL)	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00
43234 Travel-Trans/Other(CNL)	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00
43235 Travel-Mileage(CNL)	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00
<u>Clerk</u> 0100		
43231 Travel-Registration(CNL)	\$ 185.00	No Action Taken
43232 Travel-Meals(CNL)	\$ 50.00	No Action Taken
43233 Travel-Lodging(CNL)	\$ 335.00	No Action Taken
43234 Travel-Trans/Other(CNL)	\$ 1.00	No Action Taken
43235 Travel-Mileage(CNL)	\$ 321.00	No Action Taken

	Appropriation Requested	Appropriated
<u>E-911 Wireless Fund 299</u>		
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
43995 Other Services & Charges	\$ 600,000.00	\$ 450,000.00
<u>Non-Reverting Self Insurance Fund 541</u>		
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
43420 Insurance	\$ 560,880.06	\$ 560,880.06

TRANSFER OF FUNDS CERTIFICATE

I, the proper legal officer of Lake County Council, Lake County, IN, hereby certify to the Auditor of Lake County, that the Lake County Council, approved the following transfers:

	Requested	Approved
<u>Economic Development</u> 6100		
ARRA/HUD HPRP grant Fund 278		
From: 278-43150 Consultant Fees	\$ 12,134.40	
278-43231 Travel-Registration	\$ 1,000.00	
278-43232 Travel-Meals	\$ 750.00	
278-43233 Travel-Lodging	\$ 1,250.00	
278-43234 Travel-Trans/Other	\$ 500.00	
To: 278-44220 Building Improvements	\$ 15,634.40	\$ 15,634.40
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
Create New Line		
From: 001-43982 Change of Venue	\$ 2,000.00	
To: 001-43976 Patients & Inmates	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
From: 001-43982 Change of Venue	\$ 1,000.00	
To: 001-43910 Dues & Subscriptions	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
<u>Commissioners</u> 2900		
EECBG Grant Fund 276		
From: 276-44220 Building & Structure	\$ 58,018.92	
To: 276-43150 Consultants	\$ 58,018.92	\$ 58,018.92
<u>Recorders</u> 0400		
From: 001-43231 Travel-Registration	\$ 90.00	
001-43232 Travel-Meals	\$ 558.00	
001-43235 Travel-Mileage	\$ 90.00	
001-43330 Photo/Blueprinting	\$ 500.00	
001-43620 Equipment Repair	\$ 1,800.00	
To: 001-43630 Maint & Serv Contr	\$ 3,038.00	\$ 3,038.00
<u>Highway</u> 5060		
Highway Fund 102		
From: 102-5011-43510 Utilities	\$ 7,500.00	
To: 102-5017-41190 Part-Time	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00
<u>Detention Center</u> 4200		
Detention Enhancement Project Grant Fund 365		
From: 365-41230 PERF	\$ 532.00	
To: 365-41220 FICA	\$ 391.00	\$ 391.00
365-41328 Per Diem	\$ 141.00	\$ 141.00
<u>Detention Center</u> 4200		
From: 001-43630 Maintenance & Serv Contr	\$ 1,760.00	
001-43710 Equipment Repair	\$ 1,767.00	
To: 001-42240 Household & Inst Suppl	\$ 3,527.00	\$ 3,527.00
<u>Weights & Measures</u> 2800		
Weights & Measures User Fee Fund 255		
From: 255-41220 FICA	\$ 49.48	
To: 255-41110 Overtime	\$ 49.48	\$ 49.48
<u>Sheriff</u> 0500		
From: 001-41120 Professionals	\$ 30,000.00	
001-41339 Clothing	\$ 25,000.00	
To: 001-41140 Protective Services	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00
<u>Election & Registration</u> 2100		
From: 001-43150 Consultant Fees	\$ 10,000.00	
001-43310 Printing	\$ 25,000.00	
001-43730 Property Rental	\$ 5,000.00	

To: 001-43145	Legal Services	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
001-43235	Travel-Mileage	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
001-43320	Advertising	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
001-43395	Other Services & Charges	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00
<u>Assessor 0900</u>			
Reassessment 2005 Fund 237			
Create 2 New Line Items			
From: 237-44420	Office Machines	\$ 7,500.00	
To: 237-42410	Other Supplies	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
237-44490	Other Equipment	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00
<u>Ross Township Assessor 1700</u>			
From: 001-41130	Technicians	\$ 600.00	
To: 001-41210	Longevity	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00
<u>Ross Township Assessor 1700</u>			
Reassessment 2005 Fund 237			
From: 237-41190	Part-Time	\$ 6,100.00	
To: 237-44420	Office Machines	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00
237-44490	Other Equipment	\$ 4,300.00	\$ 4,300.00
<u>Prosecutor 0800</u>			
From: 001-41331	Court Reporter Per Diem	\$ 15,000.00	
001-43231	Travel-Registration	\$ 1,500.00	
001-43235	Travel-Mileage	\$ 2,000.00	
001-43910	Dues & Subscriptions	\$ 500.00	
To: 001-43145	Legal Services	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00
001-43234	Travel-Trans/Other	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
001-43240	Telephone	\$ 2,300.00	\$ 2,300.00
001-43630	Maint & Service Contr	\$ 5,700.00	\$ 5,700.00
<u>Prosecutor 0800</u>			
Elderly Abuse Fund 127			
From: 127-41110	Officials & Admin	\$ 3,575.00	
To: 127-41190	Part-Time	\$ 3,575.00	\$ 3,575.00
<u>St. John Township Assessor 1800</u>			
Reassessment 2005 Fund 237			
From: 237-42110	Office Supplies	\$ 20,000.00	
237-43190	Other Professional Serv	\$ 41,000.00	
237-43232	Travel-Meals	\$ 1,700.00	
237-43233	Travel-Lodging	\$ 7,300.00	
To: 237-43910	Dues & Subscriptions	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00
237-44420	Office Machines	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00
237-44490	Other Equipment	\$ 34,000.00	\$ 34,000.00
<u>Animal Control 3200</u>			
Animal Shelter Non-Reverting Fund 163			
From: 163-41190	Part-Time	\$ 650.00	
To: 163-41620	Workman's Comp	\$ 650.00	\$ 650.00
<u>Center Township Assessor 1200</u>			
2005 Reassessment Fund 237			
From: 237-41190	Part-Time	\$ 5,331.00	
To: 237-42110	Office Supplies	\$ 5,331.00	\$ 5,331.00

And that such transfer does not necessitate expenditure of more money than was set out in detail in the budget as finally approved by the Department of Local Government Finance.

This transfer was made at a regular public meeting according to proper ordinance, a copy of which is attached to this certificate.

Dated this 11th day of October, 2011

Adopted this 11th day of October, 2011.

NAY

AYE

Ted F. Bilski
Jerome A. Prince
Michael Repay
Elsie Franklin
Daniel Dernulc
Christine Cid
Rick Niemeyer

Members of the Lake County Council

ATTEST:
Peggy Holinga Katona,
Lake County Auditor

Additional

	Made motions	Seconded	
<u>General fund</u>			
Plan Commission(\$1,800) – NO ACTION TAKEN			
Commissioners(-\$743)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve the reduction. Motion carried 7-0.
Veterans Service(\$743)	Dernulc	Repay	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
<u>Non-Reverting Highway Deposit Gambling Tax Fund 229</u>			
Highway(\$150,000)	Franklin	Dernulc	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
<u>Sheriff 2011 JAG Grant Fund 262</u>			
Sheriff(\$73,452)	Cid	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to create new line item and approve. motion carried 7-0.
<u>HPRP Homeless Prevention Fund 278</u>			
Economic Development(\$200)	Prince	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
<u>HUD Neighborhood Stabilization Fund 284</u>			
Economic Dev(\$1,451,851)	Prince	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Recorder(\$691)	Cid	Prince	All voted "Yes", to create new line items and approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Clerk(\$892)	No Action Taken		
Commissioners(\$450,000) (See Footnotes)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve, except Dernulc, and Niemeyer, "No". Motion to approve carried 5-yes, 2-no.
<u>Non-Reverting Self Insurance Fund 541</u>			
Commissioners(\$560,880.06)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.

Footnotes:

Re: Commissioners(\$450,000) – Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the appropriation in the amount of \$600,000.00.

Franklin explained that a portion of this money will be utilized for salaries for the Gary Police Department, and there are some outstanding bills that are owed, which is the reason for asking for this amount.

Repay re-stated his objections in which he said that he doesn't see the numbers, in his mind, they don't work. The Gary obligation is for \$500,000, of which we've already paid \$166,666. We owe them, according to our commitment that was made lawfully by the Commissioners, we owe them another 2 payments. There is another obligation that I found on my own that basically totals out to about \$362,000 dollars, and I would like to reduce the appropriation to about \$400,000 dollars. The manager of the Lake County 911 has said in the letter that, and I will quote it, the last line which reads: "I have a balance of over \$1.8 million dollars in the 299, so this is cash on hand, not a request of monies I do not have."

Repay said I take a lot of exception to that statement because in my mind, it's not \$1.8 million dollars of Lake County 911 money, it's not \$1.8 million dollars of anybody's money, it's \$1.8 million dollars worth of money that was spent by taxpayers who contributed to this through the 911 service charges on their phone, so I think that it's our obligation to keep a tight handle on this fund, and for that reason I will be voting against this appropriation.

Repay said I would be able to go along with a \$400,000 dollar appropriation.

Niemeyer said he had a conversation with Jeff, as to the possibility of if some of this money was in the budget, would you be able to find?

Jeff said he has a balance of \$12,400.00 from the original \$500,000 dollars appropriation from the beginning of the year. Out of that, we have paid for recorders, radios, and our commitment to other departments as we have done in the past several years. He said that what he has outstanding that has to be paid by the Auditor is \$447,780.00 waiting to be paid. He said the reason he asked for the \$600,000 dollars was because things are going to be coming throughout the rest of the year.

He was just adding a little more so he wouldn't have to keep coming back because at the end of the year, everybody is going to be asking for equipment.

Repay asked, as some point, are you going to tell them no?

Jeff answered, we usually don't because it's 911 money, and this is what the State statute says we can use it for. We don't normally pay any of their operating cost for them, so any equipment we can buy for them, we have always done that.

Dante said there are commitments being made without an appropriation. He said he isn't saying that you shouldn't give them \$400,000 or \$600,000 dollars, but you just heard testimony that \$447,000 dollars has been committed, without an appropriation. Dante said, that has to stop.

Bilski said that prior to making any commitments, the appropriation has to be made, from this point forward.

Franklin rescinded her motion in the amount of the \$600,000, and to reduce it to \$450,000 dollars. Prince seconded the motion.

Prince said to Jeff, for future references, it would be beneficial that any future requests for appropriations be itemized and detailed to come along with the request.

Dernulc asked if this money is user based driven? He asked if part of it will be used for the ongoing discussions on consolidation, and he thinks Repay brought up a good point, that there might be a time where we might have to say no because we have to start looking into 2014, that this has to be consolidated, and be able to do it. He said he just wants Jeff to take that into consideration when he talks to the folks.

Cid said that she thinks this adjustment of Repay' is justified but she wants to remind this Council to treat every budget the same, every lump sum appropriation that comes before us the same.

All voted "Yes", except Dernulc, and Niemeyer, "No". Motion to approve the amended amount of \$450,000 dollars carried 5-yes, 2-no.

Transfers

	Made motion	seconded	
Economic Dev(\$15,634.40)	Prince	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Commissioners(\$2,000)	Franklin	Repay	All voted "Yes" to create new line item, and approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Commissioners(\$1,000)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Commissioners(\$58,018.92) EECBG Grant Fund 276 (See Footnotes)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve, except Niemeyer, "No" Motion carried 6-yes, 1-no.
Recorders(\$3,038)	Cid	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Highway(\$7,500) Highway Fund 102	Franklin	Dernulc	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Detention Center(\$532)	Dernulc	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Detention Enhancement Project Grant Fund 365 Detention Center(\$3,527)	Dernulc	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Weights & Measures(\$49.48) Wts & Measures User Fee Fund 255	Franklin	Dernulc	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Sheriff(\$55,000)	Cid	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Election & Registration(\$40,000)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to Approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Assessor(\$7,500) Reassessment Fund 237	Prince	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to create 2 new line items, and approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Ross Township Assr(\$600)	Prince	Cid	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Ross Twp Assr(\$6,100) Reassessment Fund 237	Prince	Repay	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Prosecutor(\$3,575)	Franklin	Prince	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Elderly Abuse Fund 127 Prosecutor(\$19,000)	Franklin	Cid	All voted "Yes" to approve. Motion carried 7-0.
St. John Twp Assr(\$70,000)	Prince	Dernulc	All voted "Yes" to

2005 Reassessment Fund 237			approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Animal Control(\$650)	Repay	Prince	All voted "Yes" to
Animal Shelter Non-Reverting Fund 163			approve. Motion carried 7-0.
Center Township Assr(\$5,331)	Prince	Franklin	All voted "Yes" to
Reassessment 2005 Fund 237			approve. Motion carried 7-0.

Footnotes:

Re: Commissioners(\$58,018.92) – Franklin made a motion to approve out of EECBG Grant Fund 276 in the amount of \$58,018.92.
Niemeyer asked for an explanation because it's going from Building & Structure to Consultants.

Dan Vicari from an engineering firm working on the your energy efficiency conservation block grant. He gave an explanation of this request.
All voted "Yes", except Niemeyer, "No". Motion carried 6-yes, 1-no.

In the Matter of Revised 144's for Ross Township Assessor.

Re: Ross Township Assessor - Prince made a motion, seconded by Repay to approve. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

<u>Revised 144 – Fund 001</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Difference</u>
13002-001 Data Technician	\$25,820	-0-	-\$25,820
13465-001 Business Deputy	\$24,057	-0-	-\$24,057
13xxx-001 Office Supervisor	-0-	\$25,820	\$25,820
13xxx-001 Commercial Deputy	-0-	\$24,057	\$24,057

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 for Government Center.

Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant position of 001-3030-18096-001 – Supervisor Janitor. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Sheriff.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant position of 001-0500-16664-002 Warrant Clerk Civil Division. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Sheriff (2)

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve filling the 2 vacant positions of 001-0500-14408-004 – Court Security, and 001-0500-14403-004 – Radio Communications. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Work Release.

Dernulc made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant positions of 001-3150-12715-009 – Custody Officer. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Work Release.

Dernulc made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant position of 001-3150-12715-012 – Custody Officer. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Jail.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve filling the vacant position of 001-3100-14404-117 – Correctional Officer. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Jail.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve filling the vacant position of 001-3100-14404-117 – Correctional Officer. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Jail.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve filling the vacant position of 152-3100-16611-009 – Records Clerk. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Jail.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve filling the vacant positions of 001-3100-12615-003 – Qualified Mental Health, and 001-3100-12623-003 – Crisis Stabilization Tech. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Clerk.

Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant positions of 001-0100-11106-011 – Division Manager, 001-0100-16725-005 – Deputy III, 001-0100-16724-015 – Deputy II, and 001-0100-16723-001 – Deputy I. All voted “Yes”, except Cid, “abstain”. Motion to approve carried 6-yes, 1-abstention.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – Prosecutor IV-D.

Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant positions of 001-0850-15706-012 – Caseworker II, and 001-0850-15707-005 Caseworker III. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011 – County Court Div III.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve filling the vacant position of 143-4050-16034-001 Probation Monitor Investigator. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ord#1330C – Regarding Vacant Positions for 2011. – Coroner

Cid made a motion, seconded by Repay to approve filling the vacant position of 001-0700-15126-007 – Medical/Legal Death Investigator. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Councilmanic Appointments – Common Construction Wage Committee

Cid nominated Joseph Allegretti to the Common Construction Wage Committee for the City of East Chicago.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to close the nominations and appoint Joseph Allegretti. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Dernulc to defer to 11-8-11, the appointments for the City of Lake Station, Town of Merrillville, Town of New Chicago, and the Town of St. John. All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Citizen Appointments – Lake County Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team (8+11).

Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to defer to 11-8-11 for the following:

Survivor, Protective Service Employee, Health Dept, Bar Association, Educator, Business Community, Animal Control Office, and Domestic Violence Attorney.

All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Inter-local Agreement – To Establish Consolidated Communications Center(s) and Interoperability for Lake County, IN – Town of Munster.

Attorney Szarmach explained that this is a copy of the inter-local agreement that was approved by the Munster Town Council. It does not have to be approved by the Lake County Council.

NO ACTION TAKEN.

In the Matter of Public Hearing – Vacation of all or part of a Platted Easement – All that part of the Platted Cul-de-sac of McIntosh Lane (Now Jennings Place). See Amended Exhibit “A” for Legal Description.

Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Repay to open the Public hearing.

James Matusiak, and his wife, Betsy Matusiak of 10405 Noble Street were present. They live behind the property. He spoke about septic lines on that property, running through his property, and said that to this day, there has been nothing done with these septic lines which is his concern. He said if there are lines there, he wants them cut before they reach his property.

Niemeyer asked Mr. Matusiak if he spoke to the Health Department, and was told that the Health Department can’t do anything until they have further word from Mr. Bosetti, and from the Council, and depending on what the Council says, there could be a health issue, and they will investigate.

Bilski said he knows the area, and the drainage issues, and problems. We are looking at vacating the property at this point in time. Bilski asked Ned, if the owner wants to sub-divide the lot, he would have to come back to the BZA correct, and go through the channels.

He told Mr. Matusiak for your piece of mind, before they can do anything on that property, at that point in time, when that request is submitted to the Planning Commission, the Health Department would have to go out and inspect that land, to see the capability, or ability, and what type of septic system they would have to put on there. Bilski said that's my understanding, because it is unincorporated, they would have to get approval from the Health Department, prior to being able to do anything out there, or put a septic tank in.

Ned said he knows the septic issue has nothing to do with this road vacation, and Ned said he did tell them to go talk to the Health Department, last month, express their concerns, thinking that the Health Department would go out there and do further testing, but evidently that's all under my authority.

Bilski said what we are looking at doing here, is allowing the homeowner, or property owner to make adjustments to their property. This is a legitimate request here to coordinate this property the way the property owner chooses, and that is what his request is. Now in the future, if they wanted to develop that, there is a series of events that have to take place. One would be to come back in front of the Planning Commission, unless they would build one single family home on there.

Mr. Matusiak said my question is, whoever buys it, you said they don't have to come to you for one house, but if the guy sub-divides it and more than one house, they got to come to you?

Bilski said it would have to be advertised, they would have a public hearing on it, correct Ned, and there would be a notice posted on the property itself?

Ned answered, no, we don't do that. They have to come through the Plan Commission to sub-divide it, as I explained before. Even if they didn't have to come before the Plan Commission, in order to build one house on that property, if they were allowed to do that without sub-division approval, as I just explained. They still have to come for a building permit, septic permit from the Health Department, highway cuts, entrance cut approval from the Highway. There are several steps, even if they don't have to sub-divide.

Bilski said, if your concern is a sub-division going in there, you as an adjacent property owner would be notified of that meeting, and have your chance at that time. Then, based on that recommendation, it would come back here the following month, or so to this Council, where would be another meeting for us to vote on that approval of that neighborhood for a zoning change, if it were going to be sub-divided.

The owner was present, and in favor of the petition.

President Bilski closed the Public Hearing.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the petition, as presented. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Resolution Honoring Crown Point 10-Year Old All-Star Team – Indiana State Champions.

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Resolution Approving the Extension of a Temporary Loan in the amount of \$40,000.00 from the Web Maintenance Fund, Fund No. 256 to the Clerk's Perpetuation Fund No. 194.

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Resolution Honoring Tony Barreda.

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Resolution In Support of October as Breast Cancer Awareness Month.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve. All voted "Yes". Motion to approve carried 7-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 11-86

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF OCTOBER AS BREAST CANCER AWARENESS MONTH

WHEREAS, breast cancer affects millions of women and men and their families; and

WHEREAS, public awareness and education enhance a community's understanding of the issues affecting those with breast cancer; and

WHEREAS, the Lake County Council supports October as Breast Cancer Awareness Month in an effort to increase public awareness and education of breast cancer.

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

That the Lake County Council supports October as Breast Cancer Awareness Month in Lake County, Indiana.

SO RESOLVED THIS 11TH DAY OF October, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID
DANIEL E. DERNULC
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY
ELSIE FRANKLIN
JEROME A. PRINCE

In the Matter of Resolution Proclaiming October as Hispanic Heritage Month.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve. All voted "Yes". Motion to approve carried 7-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 11-87

**RESOLUTION PROCLAIMING
OCTOBER AS HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH**

WHEREAS, in 1968, under Public Law 90-498, U.S. Congress approved a week long Celebration of the Hispanic influence on politics, economy and society and 20 years later, President Regan extended the week long celebration to a month; and

WHEREAS, Hispanic Heritage Month is an opportunity to celebrate the many achievements and to recognize the contributions and traditions of Hispanics/Latino Americans; and

WHEREAS, the Lake County Council desires to proclaim October as Hispanic Heritage Month.

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

That the Lake County Council declares October as Hispanic Heritage Month in Lake County.

SO RESOLVED THIS 11TH day of October, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID
DANIEL E. DERNULC
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY
ELSIE FRANKLIN
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Resolution Approving the Transfer of \$8,293.16 from the Court Drug Free Grant Fund – Fund 274 to the County Drug Free Community Fund, Fund 110.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.

RESOLUTION NO. 11-88

**REOLUTON TO APPROVE TRANSFER OF \$8,293.16
FROM THE COURT DRUG FREE GRANT FUND, FUND NO. 274
TO THE COUNTY DRUG FREE COMMUNITY FUND, FUND NO. 110**

WHEREAS, the Lake County Council by Resolution may permit the transfer to a fund from another fund in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Court Drug Free Grant Fund, Fund No. 274 contains \$8,293.16 of funds collected for and transferred from the County Drug Free Community Fund, Fund No. 110 for Grants awarded prior to 2011; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Indiana Criminal Justice Institute and I.C. 5-2-11-4 money in the County Drug

Free Community Fund, Fund No. 110 at the end of a fiscal year does not revert to any other fund; and

WHEREAS, The Lake County Council desires to transfer the sum of \$8,293.16 from the Court Drug Free Grant Fund, Fund No. 274 to the County Drug Free Community Fund, Fund No. 110.

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

That the sum of \$8,293.16 is hereby transferred from the Drug Free Grant Fund, Fund No. 274 to the County Drug Free Community Fund, Fund No. 110.

SO RESOLVED THIS 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID
DANIEL E. DERNULC
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY
ELSIE FRANKLIN
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Ordinance Establishing the Assessor’s Settlement Fund.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Repay to defer to 11-8-11. All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ordinance Establishing a Public Defender Board and Department for the Juvenile Division and County Division of the Lake Superior Court.

Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Prince to defer to 11-8-11. All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ordinance Amending Ordinance 1285B – Lake County Human Resource Manual – Early Retirement Plan Options – Second Reading.

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Ordinance Vacating a Public Way – Jennings Place – Only Vacating the Half of Cul-De-Sac (McIntosh Lane)

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Ordinance Establishing the Property Tax Appeal Defense Fund.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Cid to defer to 11-8-11. All voted “Yes”. Motion to defer carried 7-0.

In the Matter of Ordinance Amending Ordinance 1285B – Lake County Human Resource Manual – Early Retirement Plan Options – Second Reading.

No Action Taken.

In the Matter of Ordinance Amending Ordinance 1285B – Lake County Human Resource Manual – Early Retirement Plan Options.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Niemeyer to approve Item 27B-2b, an Ordinance Amending Ordinance 1285B, Lake County Human Resources Manual, Early Retirement Plan Options.

Dernulc asked Attorney Szarmach to explain the changes.

Attorney Szarmach said that the main change is in Section D-1, D-2, and E. Making sure that if they take this retirement, it does not preclude as coming back as a part-time employee, but they can not come back in another full-time position.

Also they will not seek unemployment compensation because they are retiring, they are not unemployed.

"E" states that if anyone takes the retirement, that one position, a position, not necessarily theirs would be eliminated from that department' budget.

Szarmach said there's also date changes in A,B, and C to reflect the current year.

Cid said in "A", Option2, it says they are limited, when they take that Option, they are limited to 24 hours per week, if they come back part-time. Now, in Option, in "D" it says a full-time employee who chooses, in "D", it says chooses the Option Plans agrees to the following, but in 1, it doesn't limit those part-time hours. Cid asked, are those in conflict with each other?

Attorney Szarmach answered in "D-1" they can come back as a part-time employee, however, under Option 2 it's going to be limited to 24-hours a week.

Cid asked, so everyone else in Option 1 can come back part-time, in Option 3 they can come back part-time, but they get to receive more part-time hours than those who take Option 2? Cid said I would like to see D-1 limited to the 24 hours per week, as the same as Option 2.

Bilski said and I would defer to Mr. Blanchard.

Attorney Szarmach repeated to Mr. Blanchard, with regards to the Options, under A-1,2, and 3, Option 2 limits part-time status if they come back to 24-hours per week, maximum. There is no limit in Option 1, and Option 3. Option D-1 basically allows someone to come back as a part-time employee under, Under A-1,2, or 3, Cid is recommending that they all be limited to 24-hours a week maximum, as part-time employees.

Blanchard said, I think what we're trying to do, the Oversight Committee, tried to do is range the options according to what might fit the employees best, and those options, each of those 3 options are what we heard back from the employees. There are 3 different options, very different options, and if I understand you correctly, in Option 1, you want the part-time to be limited to 24-hours as well?

Cid answered, yes. It seems to me that there's conflict here, that Option 2, they're allowed, then Cid said, see Options 1, and Option 3 does not say they can come back part-time, but really they can under D-1, and they are not limited to any hours at all, but in Option 2, they are limited.

Bilski said part-time hours. They can't come back at 40 hours.

Repay said I think the difference, and this is the difference I see. In Option 2 they are talking about a rate equal to their full-time hourly compensation, which is why they are saying not to exceed 24 hours. I believe that's why Option 2 is restricted because that rate is actually at their full-time, or equal to their full-time rate,

Whereas the other 2 are at whatever the pay rate would be for the particular part-time job that they seek, so I think that's why there is a restriction on the hours that they ...(inaudible)

Bilski interjected, you're 100% right on that statement, that's exactly why we did it in the previous years. To encourage and give the encouragement to the employees to retire, that's exactly why that limitation was put in there.

Blanchard said, and we have a couple of requests from employees to put that in, so we did. We have 2, maybe 3 that retired from using that option.

Repay said, but that one is still the same from the previous, you were limited under this ordinance that was passed in 2010 as well.

Blanchard said, correct.

Repay said so Item "D" has...

Blanchard said in Item "D" it has the limitations.

Repay said the limitations in item "D".

Blanchard said and the dates.

Cid said so in Option 3...never mind. Okay. That's all.

Mr. Van Til said that Mr. Szarmach said something about when the person retires then a position is eliminated from that budget?

Repay answered, under the early retirement program, yes.

Mr. Van Til asked if I had a number of people retire that would decimate my office.

Bilski said it depends on what option they choose, and the residual savings on that would stay within the budget. We get the whole position.

Mr. Van Til said that could decimate a small office, if several people at once chose that, like next year, when people are considering, so I've got to persuade them not to.

Blanchard said Option 2.

Cid said they work 24-hours per week. Cid said really in all of these, besides Option 1, and Option 3 they can work 40-hours a week, or 32-hours a week, you still have them there.

Mr. Van Til said I know, but the point is I lost 25% of my staff in the last 3 years anyway, and you've cut the part-time, so I can't bring the people back as part-time. So if you take another 25% of my staff, at some point, and you keep this in existence, at some point you are going to get down to me, and some guy that walks in the door.

Bilski said that Mr. Van Til brings up a realistic point of view, in small departments, and I will use the County Council because in talking to Attorney Szarmach who has concerns with this option, and under "E" as well. Bilski said, with our staff, we have a very small staff, we have 2 employees who are both entitled to retirement, they are very close and could retire at any given time. They have the ability to retire, and if that was to happen, and they both retired, under this ordinance, we would lose both of our employees, and not have the ability to refill those 2 positions, in our department, and just using the Council office as an example, if both took the option and left, we would not have the ability of fulfilling those 2 positions. We do not have anyone to move up. We don't have those other options. Bilski asked, what would we do at that point? It's a nice concept, I think in reality, I don't know how effective it is in small departments. We might want to make a friendly amendment to that, to maybe put it in front of, those positions need to be under approved and reviewed before eliminating from a budget, approved and reviewed by the County Council. That's what I just felt necessary to say, because it could be, automatic, could be devastating.

Mr. Van Til said, you have 2 things going here, because on the one hand, sometimes you want to bring someone back, for a while to help move things along because of their experience with them, but also I have somebody sitting here who's part-time who I hope will be good enough an employee that I can put as full-time.

Bilski said your point sits well with me, I did get it, you are right on the money on that..

Franklin said, and Weights & Measures, the Director of Weights & Measures, if that person retires, then there is nobody there who can move up into her spot. So this would affect that department as well. They're staff people who still work, but nobody's there to fill that position, and that person has to be qualified, there are certain levels and things that they have to have, so we couldn't just automatically move them up.

Cid said the point of this, to offer these options for early retirement, which are great benefits, great benefits to those who have only 5 years with the County was to eliminate those positions, to reduce our cost. If we just give these options for early retirement, and we're just going to stick another person, now we've got to insure that person, as well, and continue the cost of insuring these people under this plan, there's no cost savings there. Now, just because we eliminated a position, in my mind doesn't mean that we take away those funds. Maybe we can take some of those funds and put them in part-time line items so they can have additional people to hire for part-time. But to not eliminate the position, and then, of course we're not going to eliminate the Chief, the Sheriff, or necessary positions, or those required by statute, but that's the whole purpose of this. To eliminate the position so we can save in insurance costs. If you're just going to put in another body in a full-time, then don't even give the plan out.

Attorney Szarmach said that Larry and I talked about this "E", and there was a problem of whether or not it's workable. I don't think the 3 lines there, as they stand, are, they are just not workable. What if you had, and it could be in 3 years, you had the 3 employees in the Council office all retiring under one of these options, you couldn't fill one of these positions. The Chief of Police, you Chief Deputies, if they retire, you couldn't fill those positions. It's just not workable, as stated, however, the idea, I think is a good idea that would need some fine tuning in a separate ordinance, that should be included in an attrition ordinance, like we had about 10 years ago. Maybe for later in the year, as it stands, those 3 lines are really now (inaudible).

Prince said, actually I moved for approval, but as I see it, there are a couple of ways we can handle this, I can either ask for a deferral, or strike item "E".

Attorney Szarmach said I wouldn't defer it because these people want to take the Options. I think you need to amend the motion to delete "E" from the Ordinance, and pass it on 2 readings to give someone who wants to retire time, to take a look at it and see if they actually want to take one of the 3 options. "E" is something that the Council has to decide...now when that person leaves, under one of the 3 options,

that's a vacant position, and you do have your hiring freeze, so you don't have to grant permission to fill that. It's kind of a safety valve while you're working on how you are going to re-word "E".

Bilski said, Councilman Prince, what I'm understanding is that you want to rescind your motion, and the second and then make you new motion, excluding Item "E" off of the proposed ordinance.

Prince said that is precisely Mr. Chair. **Prince said to rescind my earlier motion, and the motion is to approve this Ordinance, with the exception of "Item E", or excluding "Item E", under "D".**

Bilski said the original motion has been rescinded. There is a new motion on the floor, is to eliminate "Item E" off of the proposed Ordinance, is there a second?

Franklin seconded the motion.

Niemeyer said, looking at the Ordinance, "E" is the part of the ordinance that really drives the ordinance in trying to get rid of, not rid of but downsizing government employees, so I don't see any sense of passing the rest of the resolution if you don't have something, I'm not saying that I agree, or disagree with it, but "E" seems like the driving force behind this, was to, somebody retires now at 65, takes one of these options, somebody else comes in behind them and get hired. Ray?

Attorney Szarmach said, don't fill the vacancy.

Bilski said it comes back to us to fill the vacancy.

Attorney Szarmach said, don't fill the vacancy.

Niemeyer said that's going to be back on the Council not to fill the vacancy if they deem not necessary.

Bilski said, absolutely. Bilski said then we would make an amendment. We could always amend this.

Dernulc said we do need to find a savings, or this ordinance is not worth the paper it's written on.

Niemeyer said I think we need to just defer the whole thing.

Bilski said I don't think we have that option.

Attorney Szarmach said people have until November 15, 2011 to make the decision.

Repay said a couple of points, and I agree with Councilman Niemeyer about a good reason for it. I think he might go into some of our other concerns, or at least some concerns I had filling vacancies, maybe the ordinance that we need to amend, and I'm in agreement without "E". The ordinance we need to change is the filling the vacancy ordinance, so that when the department head fills a vacancy, we need to know how did that vacancy occur, you know, all of those questions that we usually, that you said in the past, to have all of those benefits paid out etc.

Bilski said why was the position vacated, is a huge issue, was it based on retirement, then we can evaluate the individual department' needs, and that's probably the best way to address it.

Repay said, exactly, and we are certainly not saying that every position is vital, we definitely need to watch our employee count, and our expenditures, especially. I think that I would be in favor of this ordinance, without "E".

Bilski said, I agree, and I think if we could use this option, and allow during that time, someone that eliminates a full-time position, based on retirement and do exactly what Cid says, they remove that, they take the residual, actually the base salary and convert and move it into part-time positions, the County receive the savings on the health care cost, and that department is given some money in part-time to continue performing the job, so that's definitely some more things to work with.

Niemeyer commented we've had that ordinance in place about the department heads showing why they need to replace this, and what happened to fill the vacancy, and we've had that and we've acted on all of them favorably since I've been on here, most of them, and so have I, but what's changed here? We've had that ability now, under that ordinance I assume, the department heads bringing forward why they need to fill that, and why they need to keep them. Why do we need this ordinance, when we have that in place?

Bilski said I think 2012 is bringing a whole different financial outlet for us, I think we have sustained in 2011 for 2012, however 2012 for 2013 brings a whole other ball of problems coming our way.

Cid said I agree with Niemeyer. All you're going to do when someone comes for a vacancy, is , are you going to say, "oh they took one of the options", so then automatically we're not going to hire them?

Bilski said that would be your prerogative as a Council person.

Cid said well it sounds like we're saying. If someone gets this option, this is a benefit for them. Early Retirement, this is a benefit to them, pay their health benefit for them, for them to continue... The person who retires without any of these options okay, they're not getting these same benefits of these that are getting this option, so this is a benefit to that. Okay someone who just regularly retire, they are not getting \$10,000 dollars in their pocket. They are not getting their health benefits paid by us for 5 years, they are not getting those things. But we're trying to get people to retire.

Bilski said we are, but you also have to remember not only would we like people to retire, but we are also revitalizing our workforce in the same notion too. We need to encourage some of our folks, who have the ability to retire, but just simply can't, right now. And there are other folks that are in there that are newly entering the workforce that we would like to obtain as employees of County Government, that bring to the table of additional and newer skill and ability, but the folks that have their tenure here, don't have the ability to retire, so we don't necessarily want to eliminate every position out there because someone is retiring, we might want to look for someone that maybe possesses a different skill, or a higher skill and ability level, and put them into that position because we are also looking at a revitalization of our workforce, as we are changing, let's face it, some of our technologies are changing, and we need to grow, and bring in the workforce that can handle these new demands as well.

Cid said, well I wouldn't say....

Bilski said I don't think we're 100% here saying we have to eliminate any job once someone retires, we also want to be able to bring in and have the ability of soliciting a new work force.

Cid said and I wouldn't say that just because someone is 65 that they are not capable.

Bilski said I wouldn't say that, nor did I say, but they don't possess, some don't possess the same skills, whether they might be 35 or 55, ready to retire and don't possess the same skill and ability of a 55 year old that's willing to come in here and work.

Blanchard said before we always allowed for a reorg, and didn't necessarily eliminate the position that was vacated through the ordinance, and I think the Board of Commissioners sent a letter over here, a while back, in March or April asking if this Ordinance could be considered, and reenacted with that stipulation in there, and I would stick my neck out, and say if you're going to remove "E", please don't take positive action today.

Franklin asked Blanchard to repeat the Commissioner part.

Blanchard said the Commissioners, if I'm not mistaken, the Commissioners sent a letter to the County Council asking that they would consider in re-doing this ordinance and I think with the stipulation that the position be removed, or vacated because there was a circumstance where a person was hired back afterwards, not necessarily by the same department, but they were hired back. That's why the stipulation "E" was inserted.

Bilski said I think it needs to be amended, I don't think the Commissioners might have had the forethought to see hypothetically in that situation. Say if Commissioner Allen' secretary retired, he would not have the ability of fulfilling that position, it would have to be eliminated. At that point, you would have to reorganize.

Blanchard said we didn't always eliminate the position that was being vacated, according to the ordinance.

Mr. Van Til asked every time somebody retires, you want to reorganize, Larry?

Franklin said if that person retired, I think the department head that re-hired them, that person should have come forward and been honest, because we can't just keep up with every name of the people that retire, and then they come back as full-time employees. If the department head themselves, does not make us aware of it, and then at that point, we have a decision to make, as to whether or not, they retired, they got the money. I don't think that it was fair for them to come back, and they got the money, and I think that person should be terminated, or go down to part-time employee. I think that's what should happen here because we have no way of knowing the names of these folks, if they bring them back, they don't submit names, they just submit positions.

All voted "Yes", except Dernulc, Cid, and Niemeyer, "No". Motion to approve Ordinance, with the exception of "Item E" carried 4-yes, 3-no.

Prince made a motion, seconded by Repay to Suspend Rules. All voted "Yes", except Cid, and Niemeyer, "No". Franklin was "absent". Motion to Suspend Rules failed 2-no, 1-absent, 4-yes.

Ordinance was approved on First Reading only.

In the Matter of Granting Petition to Vacate Easement by Ralph Bosetti and Dorothy Bosetti.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve on First Reading. All voted "Yes". Motion to approve on First Reading carried 7-0.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to Suspend Rules. All voted "Yes". Motion to Suspend Rules carried 7-0.

Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve on Second Reading. All voted "Yes". Motion to approve on Second Reading carried 7-0.

ORDINANCE NO 1340A

**ORDINANCE GRANTING PETITION TO VACATE
EASEMENT BY RALPH BOSETTI & DOROTHY BOSETTI**

WHEREAS, Petitioners RALPH BOSETTI and DOROTHY BOSETTI pursuant to I.C. 36-7-3-12 File their Petition to Vacate the Easement; and

WHEREAS, the Lake County Council on October 11, 2011, granted the said petition.

IT IS NOW, THEREFORE, ORDAINED AS FOLLOWS:

That the following described real estate in Lake County, Indiana,
Shall be given fee simple to the Petitioners RALPH BOSETTI and
DOROTHY BOSETTI, husband and wife, tenants by entireties
With right of survivorship:

All that part of the platted cul-de-sac of McIntosh Lane(now Jennings Place)
shown in Willowdale Manor recorded in Plat Book 31, page 14 in the office of
the Recorder of Lake County, Indiana, lying west of the following described line:
Commencing at the intersection of the north line of Lot 38 in said Willowdale
Manor and the west line of said McIntosh Lane, thence south 83.44 feet along
the west line of said McIntosh Lane to the point of beginning of said line; thence
continuing South 96.57 feet more or less along said west line extended south
to the south line of said cul-de-sac and the terminus of said line.

SO ORDAINED THIS 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID
DANIEL E. DERNULC
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY
ELSIE FRANKLIN
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Plan Commission Ordinances # 2348 and 2349.

Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve Plan Commission Ordinance # 2348.
There were no remonstrators present.
All voted "Yes". Motion to approve carried 7-0.

**ORDINANCE #2348
OF THE COUNTY OF LAKE**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND the Certified Zoning Maps of the County of Lake, Indiana to make provisions for a REVISION TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION. (Board of Zoning Appeals recommended favorably 09/21/11).

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Council of Lake County, Indiana as follows:

REVISION TO SPECIAL EXCEPTION — RIDGELAWN FUNERAL HOME INC, Owner and DAVID E. COLE, Petitioner to construct a caretaker's apartment on second level of an existing building on the following described property:

APPROVED DENIED REMANDED

OF LAKE COUNTY, INDIANA, THIS 11TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2011

MEMBERS OF THE LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL

ELSIE FRANKLIN
RICK NIEMEYER
MIKE REPAYDANIEL DERNULC
JEROME A. PRINCE

Dernulc wanted to congratulate Councilman Mike Repay who ran in the marathon.

There was a motion, and a second to take an hour recess.

After the recess, Councilman Jerome A. Prince, and Councilman Daniel Dernulc were absent.

In the Matter of Amending Ordinance 1285B – Lake County Human Resource Manual – Early Retirement Plan Options.

Cid made a motion, seconded by Repay to reconsider the vote on Ordinance 1285B, which is the Early Retirement Plan Options. All voted “Yes”, except Prince, and Dernulc, “absent”. Motion to reconsider carried 5-yes, 2-absent.

Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Cid to Suspend Rules. All voted “Yes”, except Franklin, “No”. Prince and Dernulc were “absent”. Motion to Suspend Rules failed 1-no, 2-absent, and 4-Yes”.

In the Matter of Rock Solid Technologies – RESPOND Product.

Stu Rhodes, and Lon Ellis were present to make the presentation. Stu explained that this Respond Management system was developed by Rock Solid in the Caribbean first, and said that it is basically a system that would take a constituent’ request information, and that type of thing, enable you to assign a case number to respond to it. The program is called response but it would enable you to send an E-mail out saying here’s a request, it responds to the citizens, and then when it’s completed, they can send a response back.

Mr. Rhodes said that Lon Ellis is one of the principle owners in Rock Solid Technologies, and they developed this system.

Mr. Ellis said that I am a partner, in the Company. There are three others that own the Company. They have 2 Companies, one based out of Austin, Texas, and one based out of San Juan, Puerto Rico. We do a lot of public sector work. About 4 years ago they got connected with some of the municipalities in Puerto Rico, and we actually developed a full financial system for the municipalities, but when we connected, about 4 years ago, and showed the Mayor of a City in Puerto Rico, he said what they really needed was a system to deal with citizen services, because we get a lot of calls, and need to manage them, and things like that. So he asked us to develop a system. Mr. Ellis said, so we started that effort, and also said that this is all based on Microsoft technology. He said they developed it, and 4 years later, they have about 30% of all of the municipalities in Puerto Rico using this system. He said they have the whole Country of Panama, they’ve set up a 311 call center for taking calls from the entire Country, and we are about to sign the government of Puerto Rico. We are going to set up a 311 call center, and use this application. We’ve got it running in the Governor’ Office in Puerto Rico, we have it running in Carmel, Indiana, it’s been a pilot site in the U.S., and Mr. Ellis said, we’ve been working on that since last fall.

He said it’s basically a system that deals with citizen request. Any department can use it. It would be a City-wide, or a County-wide system that everybody would use, it’s all one system, it’s all one data base. A City/County arrangement could be set up to where they could use this, to where a citizen could call a central number, report the problem, the problem could be determined to go to a particular City, or to a particular County. It has a lot of flexibility. This system could also be connected to the web, so a person can, from their smart phone, or from the web site submit a request, check on a request, that type of thing.

Mr. Ellis then made his presentation and showed how the system works.

No Action was taken.

There being no further business to come before the Council, it was moved and seconded that the Council does now adjourn to meet again, as required by law.

President, Lake County Council

ATTEST:

Peggy Holinga Katona,
Lake County Auditor

