

WHEREAS, in the opinion of the County Auditor, the public interests required that the Lake County Council, should be called to meet in special session at this time, for the purpose of considering 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading of the 2012 Budgets, a written notice was sent to each member of the Council, and proper advertisement made, and all other acts performed in accordance with the laws governing such matters.

And now in obedience to such call, come Ted Bilski, President, Jerome Prince, Michael Repay, Elsie Franklin, Daniel Dernulc, Christine Cid, and Rick Niemeyer County Councilpersons, together with Ray Szarmach, County Council Attorney.

OPEN: Public Comments, Council Discussions and Actions.

Dante said the budget book, and the ordinances, and resolutions are all tied into this document. Also included are the 4 Civil Units, which are part of the 16-line statement.

Dante said just a reminder with the 27<sup>th</sup> Payroll, which begins December 5, 2011, and the Board of Commissioners have the authority to do this, if they aren't going to, they will have to find funding sometime after the 25<sup>th</sup> to pay for the 27<sup>th</sup> Payroll. The 27<sup>th</sup> Payroll ends on December 31<sup>st</sup>, and the reason why that's important is because if you are going to change how we do things, which are bi-weekly, and go to bi-monthly, or some other factor, it would have to be done right after the 027<sup>th</sup> payroll matures. If you are going to break this routine, you have to do it on the day after it matures, which is January 15, 2013.

He said Peggy has today, and maybe you received it, if you are going to pay the 27<sup>th</sup> Pay, you need to take action on the Elected Officials because you can't change their compensation mid-year. You have to take action on the Elected Officials now, for the equivalent of the 126 pay now, because you can't change it mid-year. Everyone else, if there's money to be found, or if there's money to fund this 27<sup>th</sup> pay, everyone else can come on mid-year, September, or October of next year.

Dante said the Elected Officials should be on a spread-sheet submitted by Peggy just a few minute ago.

Bilski asked is that only for the year 2012?

Dante answered, only for 2012, and then it comes off.

Bilski said because every 10-year cycle puts us into the 27<sup>th</sup>.

Dante answered, right and there are approximately 22 elected officials right there, the bump would be (inaudible)

Dante said if you don't do it now, they are going to be excluded.

Dante said we've been talking about unresolved issues in this budget, Sheriff, Jail, and DOJ, E-911, Insurance. The only way that he can recommend that they handle this, effective tomorrow is to establish a Consultative Counsel. It has to be larger than the Budget Committee, and it has to be somebody who can get their hands on these 3 or 4 issues. They have to start being worked on by this body immediately, tomorrow.

Dante said that whatever is in this budget book is at maximum. Do not expect to have a mid-year appropriations come forward next year. What you have before you is at the max, with the exception of user fees. Some of the User Fees can come in heavier, and the revenue can come in heavier, and you can re-appropriate, or a new user fee, you can re-appropriate; however, in the tax based funds, we're at max, and I have to totally discourage any mid-year additional appropriations that people may be seeking next year, it has to be dismissed outright, no question.

We are essentially balanced, I can either bump up some slight miscellaneous revenue someplace, we have so much in there, or I can increase my collections from 90% to 90.03, or 90.04, and raise that deficit. It's an immaterial deficit at this point in time.

He said you will see the 4 Civil Units of Governments that have binding recommendations, and those are as proposed, on budgets, levies, and the tax rates based upon your AV, so it's pretty much based on proposed, and was adopted on First Reading.

Hank Adams, County Assessor wanted to make a statement. He said, when I came into Office in January, I had 3 priorities:

1. On-time tax billing, that's getting the tax bills out on time.
2. Trying to eliminate as much of the back-log on the appeals as much as possible.
3. Accurate Assessment.

He said, on-time billing was nearly accomplished. Instead of May 10<sup>th</sup>, it was June 10<sup>th</sup> and the taxpayers received a full bill for the entire year, and that's the first time in several years that's been done. We saved the County approximately \$200,000 dollars on mailing an extra bill in November, that they have been doing in previous years.

The taxpayers really deserve better than having to wait 6 years for an appeal to be heard. This could cause some of them to wind up on the tax sale, which the Treasurer, and the Auditor has been trying to remove a lot of them because of appeals, and I've signed a few of them to be removed.

I'd hate to see anyone lose their property on a tax sale, and removing them causes a lot of extra work for the Treasurer and the Auditor.

The County Assessor' Budget has been cut approximately 70% since 2009. It was cut 50% in 2009, I wasn't in office of course, but according to The Times, December publication in the archives, it was cut 50% in 2009. I know it was cut 15% in 2010, and 15% in 2011. That makes that budget roughly 70% of what it was in 2007.

I've got 3 priorities this year, I changed them, I think it's better for the taxpayers.

1. I am going to continue to resolve as many of assessment appeals as possible
2. I'm going to try to get accurate assessments.
3. On-Time billing will be the last.

This office needs employees that would cost \$186,000 dollars, and I've spend a lot of time on this way before 8:30A.M. and a lot of times after 4:30P.M. Without this increase in the Assessor' Office, on-time billing, in other words, tax bills, will not be accomplished.

We will continue to accomplish as much as possible, this is going to also cost approximately \$200,000 dollars more this year, than it did last year because of the extra November billing for the estimated tax bills, which half of them aren't correct anyway.

I've mentioned this to the Committee, and it hasn't been resolved, but I want the taxpayers to know the bind that the Assessor's Office is in and keep in mind when the Assessor's Office can't get the (inaudible) to the Auditor, nothing happens. There is no money. I will work as hard as I can to get the numbers right now currently, with the short budget, we're over 3 months behind.  
Thank you very much.

Niemeyer said we've met on this issue, and we really understand the predicament you're in, but today in the budget process, it's almost impossible to find money to add these people on. Niemeyer said you have a commitment from this Council of doing all we can to find the revenue, we're going to have to find the revenue to bring the people on board that you need to get these tax bills out, we can't have these tax bills delayed anymore than we've had in the last 6 years, it cost too much in interest, and other money.

We've had those meetings, you have that commitment, and I understand what you inherited, and I know you're talking because you feel you have a real problem getting these tax bills, we know what's going on, and hopefully we will be able to sit down and in the next couple of months get some people on-board for you.

Cid said in 2009, I believe that was the year that some Assessor' Offices were eliminated, so in this case, those offices budget actually went into the Lake County Assessor' budget, like North Township' budget went into Lake County Assessor' budget, just keep that in mind. It's not like we just slashed the Lake County Assessor's, those funds came into that budget, and then that Assessor made those recommendations, and did reduce overall with all those budgets in it.

Niemeyer said, since I was an Assessor at that time, when I was eliminated, mine was done by Legislative Law. The rest of them, one North Township was done by referendum. Those monies were rolled over, but I think those monies were reduced after they were rolled over, as the years went. Whatever happened, Hank does not have the same money that was rolled over at that time. The thing about this whole thing was picking up North Township. It was the second biggest township in the County, so there's a tremendous amount of work there. South County stuff, I can say this, was 5 or 6 small townships, they picked up in the South, they were fairly well assessed, and accurate. My total budget was \$50,000, it was enough.

This is a real problem that we need to look at because these bills are going out that aren't accurate on the first one, and everybody is sitting back waiting to see what happens with the second one. The taxpayers are very apprehensive because they don't know what the second half is going to be. Niemeyer said we are aware.

Prince said we appreciate the Assessor coming here, but I don't think he said anything any different than he did last week. Reality is, everyone is under constraints, the Assessor' Office is important, but every office is important, and you let any other officeholder come here they are certainly going to tell us about

the trials and the dilemma they have. Prince said, my response to Hank, and to anyone is pretty much the same as it was last week, and there is something currently going on right now, that Rick, and Dan, and the other Committee members are aware of, that we believe will address that problem, but it's not an isolation of anything else that's going on.

Prince said that Dante hit it on the head earlier, when he spoke of the Sheriff, and the E-911, and he asked for some sort of cumulative consortium, or whatever to address the issues as a whole, but we are here today to pass this budget, and if there is no other comment on that, I've got a motion for you.

Bilski said that he is sure that the Treasurer, as well as the Auditor would commensurate with Mr. Adams, and agree that it was everything within their power they had working with the reduction of over 20% of our entire workforce, which equates to about 350 people county-wide that were removed from the tax-based funds from positions that were eliminated, so each and every department here has been truly put under those restraints.

In the Matter of Ordinance Authorizing Tax Levies. – Second Reading.

**Prince made a motion, seconded by Repay to approve Ordinance Authorizing Tax Levies on Second Reading. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1339B**

**ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING TAX LEVIES  
FOR LAKE COUNTY FOR 2012**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Indiana State Law and existing guidelines, tax levies not rates are to Be frozen; and,

**WHEREAS**, only estimated valuations are available at this time, which will cause fluctuations In the tax rates.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Lake County Council, State of Indiana as Follows:

SECTION 1. That it is the intent of the Lake County Council to approve tax Levies for the purpose of supporting 2012 Budgets as Stated on Forms 4A and 4B.

SECTION II. That as soon as the assessed valuations have been determined Tax rates shall adjust to support the levies approved this day.

DATED THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

**Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve Ordinance for Appropriations, Forms 4A and 4B.**

Dante said if you are going to change an appropriation, you have to do it before.

Cid asked are you saying we should first approve the Sheriff's and the Jail' budget?

Dante said the Sheriff' issues that we discussed, right, anything that affects the budget, levies won't be affected, but appropriations we are talking about here, and very shortly the 27<sup>th</sup> payroll on the Elected Officials on Salary Ordinance can affect this motion.

Cid said we can skip this one, and then come back.

Dante said on the Jail, and the Sheriff, we were talking about miscellaneous revenues, migration over into user fee funds, there has to be a reduction like, in-kind reductions in appropriations in the Sheriff' budget now to match that, it has to be done simultaneously, if there is going to be a motion to move the money over into these separate funds at a later date.

Attorney Szarmach said you can change the number of employees, compensation of employees anytime during the year with a revised 144, except for Elected Officials. If you are going to need more money for elected officials, for that 27<sup>th</sup> payroll, you are saying you should do it today.

Dante said I'm saying today, you must act upon any appropriation change, and salary ordinance change, before you make this motion, that's what I'm saying.

**No Action taken on the motion to approve Ordinance for Appropriations, Forms 4A and 4B.**

**Cid made a motion that the Sheriff' budget that was presented to you this morning, that line item 41190 be reduced to \$4,506,335, the Sheriff' bottom-line would be \$12,099,024. Prince seconded the motion.**

Cid explained that in order for the Sheriff to have a 2012 budget, he wants to use user fees, that he generates and Dante has already estimated some of those user fees for us to balance for this year, so the Sheriff then had to reduce this line item because Dante is already using those fees to balance our budget, the whole general budget, not just the Sheriff department.

At this time he has to make this reduction because Dante has already used this to balance the budget.

Dante asked Cid what line item was it?

Cid said 41190.

Dante said no, that's part-time. It has to be line 41140

**Cid amended her motion that it is in line item 41140.**

Dante said, you are at \$4,150,385. Now you're going to reduce that by an amount that I have the exact amount, I am going to have to match the miscellaneous revenue, by the way.

Cid said the figure you gave me was \$774,000 dollars.

Dante said that will be the Foreclosures, that will be the towing, that will be the Sheriff's Fines.

Dante said I have \$450,000 for the foreclosures, I have \$90,000 for the towing, and \$150,000 for the \$13 buck fee, that's \$690,000.00

Cid said I added in some other ones you had on this list, so we are at \$690,000 that we have to reduce.

Dante said those 3 large components are going to be removed from your line 8b. The Foreclosure, \$450,000, Towing Fee for \$90,000, and Sheriff's Fine and Fees, \$150,000. Those 3 items are leading your line 8b now.

Cid said \$690,000 has to be reduced in line item 41140?

Dante answered, that's correct, and that would balance you out on your levy. You would have to create funds, and you would have to create appropriations, and you can't do that now, because we didn't advertise for these funds.

Prince said so essentially all we're doing is reducing that particular line item.

Dante said you're moving revenue, a component of the Sheriff' revenue out of his fund, and anything that he produces above these amounts goes to Sheriff.

Prince said that's a separate motion.

Cid said that's not a motion today.

Dante said we're reducing Line 8b, miscellaneous revenue.

Dante repeated the 3 line items:

\$450,000 is our projection for Foreclosures, \$90,000 is our projection for Towing, and \$150,000 is our projection for Sheriff's Fines and Fees. It should be \$690,000 which will be reduced from 8b.

Dante asked if that requires an action.

Attorney Szarmach asked are you approving a budget that will have enough appropriation in it to make the changes you want?

Dante answered this very second, no. However, when Cid makes the motion to reduce Line 1, or the Sheriff's 41140 by \$690,000, I will.

Cid said I made that motion, and this is the only motion we need so that those miscellaneous revenues are part of our balanced budget.

Dante said and the motion is to reduce 41140 by \$690,000 dollars.

Attorney Szrmach asked what are you going to do for the Officials, and the 27<sup>th</sup> pay, are you going to do anything?

Dante said that's next.

Attorney Szarmach asked and once you have those two things, you will have what you need for the Second Reading on Ordinances #2 and #3?

Dante answered, correct.

Niemeyer said that what I'm understanding is that the Sheriff is reducing his line items by \$690,000, and he is going to get the money off of these fees that are coming in, and he is hoping these fees will be more aggressive than the \$690,000. That's what he is basing it on, but right now we're taking that off.

Dante said that's the arrangement we have. Ray is going to have to write the Resolutions to create a fund, or funds for these fees.

Repay said in the beginning of this whole ordeal starting on July 12<sup>th</sup>, I was under the impression, because it was stated several times, that we were setting the Jail and the Sheriff' budget aside. We were going about the business of dealing with everybody' budget, and we were going to re-visit it in a very robust, detailed way, and investigate the things that need to be changed, in order for the Sheriff to get the things accomplished that he needs to get accomplished. Which is quite contrary to making a motion like this the day of the Second Reading of the budget. We had so many hearings in which this could have been discussed. We could talk about where the money comes from, where it's going to go, what we're going to do.

I will not support this, because I don't think that, I think we should pass the budget as submitted, what we said from the beginning, which is we are going to put this in that group like Dante talked about, and doesn't this, in fact take them out of that group, this Consultant Counsel, I think is the appropriate thing to arrange to deal with this.

Dante said the numbers have changed because we added in the legal services for their Attorney, so some slight adjustments were made.

Cid said the Sheriff' budget is in line with 2011, just like every budget. We had much more on our plate, we had negotiations with merit, we had negotiations with Correctional Officers, they had much more on our plate than the other committees, and that's why this is being brought up on the last day, there was more to work out. We didn't go through every line item on all those other budgets, and I don't feel that we need to do this on this one either. He is in line with 2011, there is no favoritism here We had more to deal with and he is meeting his 2011 obligations, and I don't see any reason why it shouldn't be approved.

Dante said you are changing the appropriation technically; you are lowering his appropriation by \$690,000 dollars

Niemeyer asked where is that money going?

Dante said that money is going in he general fund until December 31<sup>st</sup>, and January 1<sup>st</sup> it goes into these new Ordinances that have to be created by Ray.

Dernulc asked, but we still have to vote on them right?

Dante answered absolutely, you have to appropriate them.

Dante said it came from the Committee, and I have no issue with changing this as such, as long as it's net neutral, and if he generates more than the \$690,000, then that's the Sheriff'.

**All voted "Yes", except Repay, "No". Motion to reduce line item 41140 by \$690,000 carried 6-yes, 1-no.**

**Cid made a motion, seconded by Niemeyer to approve the Jail budget, "as presented" at 2011 level.**

Repay asked if there are no changes, and we passed it on First Reading, are we going to have to do that with everybody' budget?

Cid said no, we excluded them on First Reading.

Repay said we couldn't have excluded them on First Reading.

Dante said it's the same.

Bilski said we don't have to make that motion.

**Cid rescinded her motion. No motion required.**

Dante said it's in your budget book, and it's balanced, and that's what was approved, and that's what's going forward.

Dante said that should do it on your appropriation. He doesn't think anything else would change your appropriation for line item 41140, which is at \$3,460,385.

In the Matter of the 27<sup>th</sup> Pay Period for 2012.

**Prince made a motion to approve the Revised 144's for 2012 for the 27<sup>th</sup> payroll, for the following departments: 100 - Clerk's office the 2011 amount was \$54,417, to \$56,510  
200 – Auditor, from \$54,417 to \$56,510, 300 – Treasurer, from \$54,417 to \$56,510, 400 – Recorder, from \$54,417, to \$56,510, 600 – Surveyor, from \$54,417 to \$56,510, 700 – Coroner, from \$54,417 to \$56,510, 900 – Assessor, from \$54,417, to \$56,510, 1000 – Calumet Assessor from \$52,726 to \$54,754, 1200 – Center Township Assessor, from \$47,838 to 49,678, 1500 – Hobart Township Assessor, from \$47,838 to \$49,678, 1700 – Ross Township Assessor, from \$47,838 to \$49,678, 1800 – St John Township Assessor, from \$47,838 to \$49,678, 2900 – Commissioners, from \$54,417 to \$56,510, 2900 – Commissioners, from \$54,417, to \$56,510, 2900 – Commissioners, from \$54,417, to \$56,510, 3700 – Council, from \$29,338 to \$30,467, 3700 – Council, from \$29,338 to \$30,467.**

**Franklin seconded the motion.**

Cid asked do we have a total appropriation?

Dante said no he didn't run a total because you are not doing an appropriation today.

Cid asked what are we approving then?

Bilski said, the 27<sup>th</sup> pay period for 2012.

Cid said, but we don't have that right to...

Bilski said we have to make that motion in November because there are Elected Officials out there who can't have their salary amended, or changed during the year 2012, it has to be done right now. The appropriation will come after the first of the year in 2012.

Cid asked so you are just approving revised 144's without appropriations?

Bilski said it has to be done. You can't do it, in 2012 it will be too late.

Attorney Szarmach said it's fixing those salaries.

Bilski repeated, it's fixing those salaries for 2012.

**All voted "Yes", except Cid, "No". Motion carried 6-yes, 1-no.**

In the Matter of Ordinance for Appropriations, Forms 4A and 4B. – Second Reading

**Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve on Second Reading. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.**

**ORDINANCE NO 1339C  
ORDINANCE FOR APPROPRIATIONS AND TAX RATES**

Be it Ordained by the LAKE COUNTY unit, Lake County, Indiana that for the expenses of LAKE COUNTY for the year ending December 31, 2012 the sum of \$187,034,211 as shown on Budget Form 4-A are hereby appropriated and ordered set apart out of the several funds herein named and for the purposes herein specified, subject to the laws governing the same. Such sums herein appropriated shall be held to include all expenditures authorized to be made during the year, unless otherwise expressly

Stipulated and provided for by law. In addition, for the purposes of raising revenue to meet the necessary expense of LAKE COUNTY, a total property tax levy of \$125663194 and a total tax rate of 0.6992 as shown on Budget Form 4-B are included herein. Budget Form 4-A and 4-B for all funds and departments are incorporated by the signing of this form and must be completed and submitted in the manner prescribed by the Department of Local Government Finance.

| Name of Adopting Entity | Select Type of Fiscal Body | Date of Adoption     |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Lake County             | County Council             | 09/26/11             |
| Ted F. Bilski           | AYE                        | Ted F. Bilski        |
| Christine Cid           | AYE                        | Christine Cid        |
| Daniel E. Dernulc       | AYE                        | Daniel E. Dernulc    |
| Elsie Franklin          | AYE                        | Elsie Franklin       |
| Rick Niemeyer           | AYE                        | Rick Niemeyer        |
| Jerome A. Prince        | AYE                        | Jerome A. Prince     |
| Mike Repay              | AYE                        | Mike Repay           |
| ATTEST:                 |                            |                      |
| Name                    | Title                      | Signature            |
| Peggy Holinga Katona    | Lake County Auditor        | Peggy Holinga Katona |

In the Matter of Salary Ordinance for 2012.

**Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the Salary Ordinance for 2012 on Second Reading.**

**All voted "Yes", except Dernulc, Cid, and Niemeyer, "No". Motion to approve the Lake County 2012 Salary Ordinance for 2012 carried 4-yes, 3-no.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1339D**

**LAKE COUNTY 2012 SALARY ORDINANCE**

BE OT ORDAINED by the Lake County Council of Lake County, Indiana, that the attached Form No. 144, computer printout, salaries and wages for officers and employees for the year 2012 Showing the amounts that were required and amounts recommended by the Lake County Council Have been approved: (H.I.)

SO ORDAINED THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
JEROME A. PRINCE

ELSIE FRANKLIN

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Longevity Ordinance for 2012.

**Franklin made a motion, seconded by Repay to approve the Longevity Ordinance for 2012, on Second Reading.**

**All voted "Yes". Motion to approve the Lake County Longevity Ordinance for 2012, on Second Reading carried 7-0.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1339E**

**LAKE COUNTY  
LONGVITY ORDINANCE FOR 2012**

**WHEREAS,** the Lake County Council has determined that it is in the best interest of The employees of Lake County that a scheduled longevity pay be established.

**NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE ORDAINED AS FOLLOWS:**

That the schedule of longevity pay listed below be adopted  
For all elected officials, all full-time county employees and all  
Part-time county employees working at least 20 hours per week.

The total amount of longevity pay shall be paid in the fourth quarter  
Of the year and shall be calculated as follows:

1. For full-time employment add the aggregate number of years Completed as of December 31, 2011.
2. For part-time employees add the consecutive years completed As of December 31, 2011. Prior years shall not count unless They are consecutive as of December 31, 2011.
3. For former part-time employees who are hired as full-time Employees, the years completed as prior part-time employees Shall not count towards calculating longevity pay. This includes Part-time employment which is consecutive with full-time employment.

| <u>Years Completed</u>                   | <u>Amount</u> |
|--|---------------|
| 5 Years                                  | \$220.00      |
| 10 Years                                 | \$320.00      |
| 15 Years                                 | \$440.00      |
| 20 Years                                 | \$620.00      |
| 25 Years                                 | \$920.00      |
| 30 Years and over                        | \$1,220.00    |
| (Lake County Code Sec. 32.027 LONGEVITY) |               |

DULY ADOPTED THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Sheriff Clothing Allowance Ordinance. – Second Reading.

**Dernulc made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the Sheriff Clothing Allowance Ordinance on Second Reading.**

**All voted “Yes”. Motion to approve the Sheriff Uniform Clothing Allowance Ordinance for 2012, on Second Reading carried 7-0.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1339F**

**LAKE COUNTY SHERIFF UNIFORM CLOTHING ALLOWANCE ORDINANCE FOR 2012**

**WHEREAS**, the Lake County Council desires that all full-time Deputy Sheriffs, correctional officers, work release custody officers and court security officers be given a yearly allowance to purchase uniforms to wear while on duty.

**NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE ORDAINED BY THE LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL AS FOLLOWS:**

- SECTION I. Full-time deputy sheriffs shall receive an annual uniform clothing allowance of \$1300.00, the Lake County Sheriff shall not receive a clothing allowance;
- SECTION II. Full-time correctional officers shall receive an annual uniform clothing allowance of \$700.00;
- SECTION III. Full-Time work release custody officers shall receive an annual uniform clothing allowance of \$550.00;
- SECTION IV. Full-time court security officers shall receive an annual uniform clothing allowance of \$550.00;
- SECTION V. That such clothing allowance shall be paid on or before the 1st day of December, of each calendar year, beginning on or before the 1st day of December, 2011, for the calendar year of 2012.
- SECTION VI. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after the date of its passage according to law.

DULY ADOPTED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF LAKE,  
State of Indiana, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of the Per Diem Expense Ordinance for 2012. – Second Reading

**Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the Per Diem Expense Ordinance for 2012 on Second Reading.**

**All voted “Yes”. Motion to approve the Per Diem Expense Ordinance for 2012 carried 7-0.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 1339G**

**PER DIEM EXPENSE ORDINANCE FOR 2012**

**WHEREAS,** THE Lake County Council desires to establish a per diem expense Schedule for County officials, department heads, and Lake County Agencies and their employees who travel on County business.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** let it be ordained by the Lake County Council that the Schedule for per diem expenses for County officials, department Heads and Lake County Agencies and their employees who travel On County business shall be as follows:

- |    |           |         |
|----|-----------|---------|
| 1. | Breakfast | \$10.00 |
| 2. | Lunch     | \$15.00 |
| 3. | Dinner    | \$25.00 |

SO ORDAINED THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of 2012 Budget Binding Recommendations for Crown Point Public Library. – Second Reading.

Attorney Szarmach suggested the motion be to approve, “as submitted”, the budget, levy, and tax rate for Crown Point Public Library for 2012, pursuant to statute.

**Dernulc made a motion to approve the binding recommendation, “as presented”, for the Crown Point Public Library, on Second Reading, for year 2012 for the general fund budget, debt service, and reserve fund, the tax rate, and levy. All voted “Yes”, except Repay, “No”. Motion to approve on Second Reading carried 6-yes, 1-no.**

In the Matter of 2012 Budget Binding Recommendations for Lowell Public Library. – Second Reading.

**Niemeyer made a motion, seconded by Dernulc to approve the binding recommendation, “as presented” for Lowell Public Library , on Second Reading, for the year 2012 for the general fund budget , the loan fund budget, the tax rate, and levy.**

**All voted “Yes”. Motion to approve on Second Reading carried 7-0.**

In the Matter of 2012 Budget Binding Recommendations for Lake Ridge Fire Protection – Second Reading.

**Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve the binding recommendation, “as presented” for Lake Ridge Fire Protection, on Second Reading for the year 2012, for the general fund, and the Cumulative Cap budget, the tax rate, and levy. All voted “Yes”. Motion to approve on Second Reading carried 7-0.**

In the Matter of 2012 Budget Binding Recommendations for Lake County Solid Waste – Second Reading.

**Prince made a motion, seconded by Cid to approve the binding recommendations, “as presented” for Lake County Solid Waste, on Second Reading, for the year 2012, for the proposed budget, levy, and tax rates.**

**All voted “Yes”, except Repay, Dernulc, and Niemeyer, “No”. Motion to approve on Second Reading carried 4-yes, 3-no.**

In the Matter of Resolution for the Distribution of Funds for Mental Health for Lake County for 2012.

**Cid made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve. All voted “Yes”. Motion carried 7-0.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 11-82**

**RESOLUTION FOR THE DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS FOR MENTAL HEALTH FOR LAKE COUNTY FOR 2012**

**WHEREAS**, the Gary Comprehensive Community Mental Health Center, Inc. and the Regional Mental Health Center hereinafter referred to as "Centers" are designated as community mental health centers by the Department of Mental Health, the State of Indiana; and

**WHEREAS**, the Centers have received capital and operating funds from the governments of the United States of America, State of Indiana, and the County of Lake, which are used to accomplish the purposes for which the centers were created; and,

**WHEREAS**, I.C. 12-29-2-1, et. seq., provide for the funding of the operating of the centers; and

**WHEREAS**, Lake County desires to continue to provide operating and capital funds to the centers per I.C. 12-29-2-2(a)(2).

**NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

That pursuant to I.C. 12-29-2-2(b)(2), for the year 2012 and each year thereafter, an amount of funding for the operation of community health centers shall be calculated as follows:

- (A) The amount that was levied in the County to comply with this section from property taxes first due and payable in the calendar year immediately preceding the ensuing calendar year (2011); multiplied by the County's assessed value growth quotient for the ensuing calendar year, as determined under I.C. 6-1.1-18.5-2;
- (B) To be appropriated to the County's centers respective service areas, and that the levy shall be apportioned among the centers, according to the population served by each respective center to the total population of the County as follows:

|             |                                      |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| Gary 22.80% | Regional Mental Health Center 77.20% |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|

**SO RESOLVED** THIS 26th day of September , 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID

MICHAEL C. REPAY

DANIEL E. DERNULC

ELSIE FRANKLIN

RICK NIEMEYER

JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Resolution to Reduce Line 2 of Certain Funds.

**Prince made a motion, seconded by Cid to approve.**

Dante said this is in your pack, and general fund is \$800,000, the bulk of that are salaries, I think they have some carryover in Peggy' budget, Auditor' that's not going to be used, and Treasurer will not use his property tax sale proceeds as budgeted. Those are the following line items that you can't touch this year in our monthly meetings.

The other funds that will have reductions will be Riverboat, the Commissioners, and the Cities and Towns. The Cities and Towns get a share of what the State gives us, when the State' share shrunk up due to Income Tax credit, their share shrunk up. So their 25% went by the wayside, just as our share went by the wayside. That was \$500,000.

The last one was Cumulative Cap that was the money that Larry turned in that he didn't use, that he re-converted it into additional appropriation, and that was \$74,920 that he didn't use. Those are the 3.

**All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0**

**RESOLUTION NO. 11-83**

**RESOLUTION TO REDUCE  
CERTAIN FUNDS TO BALANCE THE 2012 BUDGET**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to I.C. 36-2-5-11, the Lake County Council annually adopts the Budget Ordinance and Salary Ordinance for Lake County, Indiana for the following year; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to adopt a balanced budget for Lake County, Indiana, 2012, the Lake County Council desires the following reductions in the respective budgets:

**LINE 2 REDUCTIONS:**

|                         |              |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Riverboat Fund          | Fund No. 196 | \$500,000.00 |
| General Fund            | Fund No. 001 | \$800,000.00 |
| Cumulative Capital Fund | Fund No. 651 | \$ 74,920.00 |

**NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:**

That the Lake County Council in order to balance the County Budget for Lake County for 2012 makes the following Line Two reductions:

**LINE 2 REDUCTIONS:**

|                         |              |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Riverboat Fund          | Fund No. 196 | \$500,000.00 |
| General Fund            | Fund No. 001 | \$800,000.00 |
| Cumulative Capital Fund | Fund No. 651 | \$ 74,920.00 |

DATED THIS 26<sup>th</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Resolution to Establish the Reserve Carryover Payroll Expense Line in the Lake County Auditor's 2012 Budget.

**Franklin made a motion, seconded by Prince to approve. All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.**

**RESOLUTION NO. 11-84****RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE RESERVE  
CARRYOVER PAYROLL EXPENSE LINE IN THE  
LAKE COUNTY AUDITOR'S 2012 BUDGET**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to I.C. 36-2-5-1, et. seq., the Lake County Council has adopted the Lake County Budget for 2012; and

**WHEREAS**, the Lake County Council has eliminated from the 2012 Budget full-time positions which were included in the Lake County 2011 Budget; and

**WHEREAS**, notwithstanding the elimination of the full-time positions certain carryover expenses (longevity, vacation pay, etc.) will accrue in 2012 for the eliminated positions; and

**WHEREAS**, the Lake County Council desires that the carryover payroll expenses be paid by the Lake County Auditor as needed throughout 2012, without appropriation by the Lake County Council; and

**WHEREAS**, the carryover payroll expenses in 2012 may total \$300,000.00. NOW,

THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. That the Reserve Carryover Payroll Expense Line (Line) is created in the Lake County Auditor's 2012 Budget for the payment of carryover payroll expenses (Expenses) (longevity, vacation pay, etc.) which accrue in 2012 for the 2011 full-time positions which were eliminated in the Lake County 2012 Budget.
2. That the Lake County Auditor shall pay the carryover payroll expenses as they come due from the Reserve Carryover Payroll Expense Line without appropriation or approval by the Lake County Council.
3. That the Lake County Council hereby places in the Lake County Auditor's 2012 Budget, Reserve Carryover Payroll Expense Line, the sum of \$300,000.00.
4. That after payment of the expenses any remaining funds in the Line shall be returned to the General Fund.

**SO RESOLVED** THIS 26<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2011.

TED F. BILSKI, President

CHRISTINE CID  
DANIEL E. DERNULC  
RICK NIEMEYER

MICHAEL C. REPAY  
ELSIE FRANKLIN  
JEROME A. PRINCE

Members of the Lake County Council

In the Matter of Motion to use the sum of 18 Billion, 10 Million, 100 Thousand, as the assessed value for 2012 for Lake County.

**Repay made a motion, seconded by Prince to use the sum of Eighteen Billion, Ten Million, One Hundred Thousand Dollars as the assessed value for 2012 for Lake County.**

**All voted "Yes". Motion carried 7-0.**

In the Matter of Motion to approve the 16 Line Statement for funds, levies and rates for 2012 for Lake County.

**Cid made a motion, seconded by Franklin to approve. All voted "Yes", except Prince, "absent". Motion to approve carried 6-yes, 1-absent.**

There being no further business to come before the Council, it was moved and seconded that the Council does now adjourn, to meet again as required by law.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President, Lake County Council

ATTEST:

---

Peggy Holinga Katona,  
Lake County Auditor